UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

١	,
١	,

ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL

	٧.	ONL	DER OF DETERMINING TRIAL	
	Jaime Becerra-Orozco	Case Number:	09-7112M	
present and wa			ing was held on March 10, 2009. Defendant was evidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the	
I final by a man		NGS OF FACT		
	conderance of the evidence that:	S		
	The defendant is not a citizen of the United S	•	·	
	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.			
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in	the United States	or in the District of Arizona.	
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal history.			
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
\boxtimes	There is a record of prior failure to comply wi	ith court ordered co	onditions.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law enfor	cement contact by	fleeing from law enforcement.	
	The defendant is facing a maximum of		years imprisonment.	
The Coat the time of t	he hearing in this matter, except as noted in th	ings of the Pretrial S le record. JSIONS OF LAW	Services Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
1. 2.	DIRECTIONS RE	ll reasonably assur EGARDING DETEI		
a corrections fa appeal. The do of the United S	acility separate, to the extent practicable, from pefendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportetates or on request of an attorney for the Governe United States Marshal for the purpose of an	ersons awaiting or unity for private con rnment, the person		
IT IS C deliver a copy of Court.	RDERED that should an appeal of this detenti	on order be filed w	ith the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to st one day prior to the hearing set before the Distric	
IT IS F Services suffic	URTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third itently in advance of the hearing before the Dispotential third party custodian.	party is to be cons strict Court to allov	idered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria v Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and	
DATI	ED this 10 th day of March, 2009.			
		CO!!		
		David K. Duncan	1	
		States Magistrat		